ERROR CORRECTION IN LANGUAGE LEARNING: NECESSITY, TYPES, AND EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES

Muhammadjonova Nafisa Rustam qizi
E-mail: gulchehra.rustamovna1998@gmail.com

Received: 13.09.2025
Revised: 14.09.2025
Accepted: 15.09.2025

Keywords:
Error correction, language learning, systematic errors, elicitation, recasts, metalinguistic feedback, awareness, self-correction, motivation, linguistic competence.

Annotation: Error correction is a crucial yet sensitive component of language learning. While not all mistakes necessitate correction, systematic errors should be selectively addressed to facilitate learners' progression. Techniques such as elicitation, recasts, and metalinguistic feedback can enhance awareness and encourage self-correction without diminishing motivation. Ultimately, effective correction strikes a balance between accuracy and fluency, ensuring that learners stay engaged and confident as they develop their linguistic competence.

Kalit so'zlar:
xato tuzatish, til o'rganish, tizimli xatolar, elicitatsiya, qayta ifodalash, metalingvistik fikr bildirish, ong, o'z-o'zini tuzatish, rag'bat, til mahorati

Annotatsiya: Xato tuzatish til o'rganishda muhim, lekin nozik bir jihatdir. Hamma xatolarni tuzatish shart emas, lekin tizimli xatolarni tanlab tuzatish o'quvchilarning rivojlanishini qo'llab-quvvatlashi kerak. Elicitatsiya, qayta ifodalash va metalingvistik fikr bildirish kabi usullar, o'z-o'zini tuzatishni rag'batlantiruvchi ongni oshirishda foydali bo'lishi mumkin. Natijada, samarali tuzatish to'g'rilik va ravonlik o'rtasida muvozanatni ta'minlaydi, bu esa o'quvchilarning til mahoratini rivojlantirish jarayonida ishtirok etishini va o'ziga bo'lgan ishonchini saqlashini ta'minlaydi

Introduction. One of the most debated issues in second language acquisition (SLA) is whether learners' errors and mistakes should be corrected during the learning process. While some scholars argue that error correction is essential for progress, others emphasize that excessive correction can discourage learners. This paper distinguishes between errors and mistakes, analyzes which should be corrected, and explores effective corrective feedback strategies with practical examples.

Errors vs. Mistakes

Corder (1967) was the first to clearly differentiate between errors and mistakes.

Mistakes are performance slips caused by fatigue, stress, or attention lapses. For instance, a learner may say "He go to school" even though they know the rule. Such mistakes do not reflect gaps in competence.

Errors, on the other hand, are systematic deviations that show a learner has not yet acquired a particular rule. For example, consistently saying "She goed home" reflects an error in tense formation.

The distinction is crucial: mistakes often do not require correction, whereas errors can provide valuable teaching opportunities (Ellis, 2009).

Should Errors Be Corrected?

Research shows that selective correction can accelerate learning when applied appropriately. According to Lightbown and Spada (2013), correction is most effective when it focuses on errors that are relevant to the learner's developmental stage and communicative goals. However, constant interruption for minor mistakes may harm fluency and confidence.

Thus, teachers should prioritize correcting errors that impede communication or represent fundamental gaps in grammar, while ignoring minor slips that learners can self-correct.

Effective Types of Corrective Feedback

Lyster and Ranta (1997) identified several types of corrective feedback, each with different impacts:

Recasts – The teacher reformulates the learner's utterance correctly without explicit explanation.

Learner: "She go school every day."

Teacher: "Yes, she goes to school every day."

Elicitation – The teacher prompts the learner to correct themselves.

Learner: "He have a car."

Teacher: "He…?" → Learner: "He has a car."

Explicit correction – The teacher directly points out the error.

Teacher: "Not 'goed', but 'went.'"

Metalinguistic feedback – The teacher provides a hint about the nature of the error without giving the answer.

Teacher: "Remember, third person singular verbs in the present tense need an '-s.'"

Clarification requests – Asking the learner to repeat or clarify.

Learner: "He go yesterday."

Teacher: "Sorry, can you repeat that?"

Among these, elicitation and recasts are often considered the most effective since they push learners to notice the gap and self-correct, which strengthens long-term acquisition (Ellis, 2009).

Practical Example

Imagine a communicative classroom where the focus is on fluency. If a learner says:

"Yesterday I go to the market."

Instead of immediately correcting, the teacher could use elicitation:

Teacher: "Yesterday you…?"

This encourages the learner to notice the tense error and self-correct:

Learner: "Yesterday I went to the market."

Such interaction supports both accuracy and fluency without breaking the flow of communication.

Conclusion. Error correction is a vital but delicate aspect of language learning. While mistakes may not require correction, systematic errors should be addressed selectively to guide learners' progress. Effective techniques such as elicitation, recasts, and metalinguistic feedback can promote awareness and self-correction without undermining motivation. Ultimately, successful correction balances accuracy with fluency, ensuring that learners remain engaged and confident while developing linguistic competence.

REFERENCES

Corder, S. P. (1967). The significance of learners' errors. International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching, 5(4), 161–170.

Ellis, R. (2009). Corrective feedback and teacher development. L2 Journal, 1(1), 3–18.

Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (2013). How Languages are Learned (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Lyster, R., & Ranta, L. (1997). Corrective feedback and learner uptake: Negotiation of form in communicative classrooms. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 19(1), 37–66.